

16<sup>th</sup> September 2018  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

Here you should summarise the aspects of the application you agree and/or disagree with and say why. Outline what information, local knowledge or evidence you have which supports this. Please aim to limit this section to no more than 500 words (please use extra paper if needed).

I understand that the success of this venture depends on utilising up to 46 night flights, commencing with a minimum of 8 flights every night.

This would be a complete blight for a population of up to half a million living in East Kent. Having lived for 15 years in West London, Heathrow aircraft were a nightmare. They started arriving at 4.30AM, after which time I was never able to sleep.

It seems to me that this Manston proposal will be even worse for the populous, because the aircraft will not only be noisier (than Heathrow), but will continue throughout the night, making a good night's sleep an impossibility, with serious effects on people's health.

~~If you are to let this Manston project go ahead, could you please, please ensure that no night flights are allowed~~  
It is quite clear that after three attempts by different owners in the past, because of the lack of transport infrastructure in East Kent, all revival of Manston airport projects have been aborted. The A299, A259 and M2 have mostly just 2 lanes and in the summer months are continually blocked. The extra weight of thousands more vehicles, caused by freight traffic and fuel trucks (for the aircraft) is likely

Please check that you have completed all relevant sections, otherwise you may not be able to take part in the later stages of the examination.

Please sign your form, print your name and give today's date, below:

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

16<sup>th</sup> September 2018

To cause deadlock on these already overcrowded roads.

CONTINUED ON  
NEXT PAGE

To be honest, to try and plant a major freight hub airport in a tourist area, three quarters of which is surrounded by sea, would be a major disaster, as proved by previous efforts to have a successful airport at Manston.

I understand that there will be very high start up costs to get this commercial operation under way. R.S.P. currently owns none of the land in question and the whole project appears to be a very speculative financial adventure, where it is most dubious whether R.S.P. have sufficient funding to acquire the land.

I would urge you please to reject this application. This is in view of the unacceptable aircraft noise (especially at night) imposed on local communities. The poor location disastrous transport infrastructure and failed previous track record of Manston airport must surely suggest that this is an unworkable operation.

This also appears to be a highly speculative venture without secure financial backing and I believe it is questionable whether R.S.P. can raise the funding for this very dubious project.



16<sup>th</sup> September 2018

The Planning Act 2008 process is primarily a written *examination* process and if you are registered as an *interested party* you will have an opportunity, later in the *examination* process, to provide a more detailed *written representation*.

You cannot reserve a right to make a *representation* later without providing a summary of the points you intend to submit at this stage.

Your *representation* should take the form of a summary of what you agree with and/or disagree with in the *application*, and any impacts you think it would have.

Please note that your *representation* **must not** include material that is,

- vexatious or frivolous
- about compensation for compulsory acquisition of land or of any *interest* in or right over land

MAN-062

- ① Unacceptable aircraft noise (especially at night) affecting up to half a million people in East Kent.
- ② Poor location of airport surrounded three quarters by water.
- ③ Transport infrastructure not able to cope with huge increase in heavy traffic.
- ④ Failed track record of previous (3) Manston airport owners.
- ⑤ Dubious secure financial backing for this RSA project.

about the merits of policy set out in a national policy statement.

The information you include in the *representation* section of this form will be used by the *Examining Authority* to carry out its initial assessment of the principle issues and to decide the best way to examine the *application*.

Page 4 of 7